## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

\_\_\_\_

DAVID CAIN, JR.,

Petitioner,

05-CR-360-A **ORDER** 

٧.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Responde	ent.
----------	------

\_\_\_\_\_

Petitioner David Cain, Jr. moves to "supplement and/or amend" his § 2255 motion. The Court denied Petitioner's § 2255 motion on October 15, 2015. See Docket No. 481. In addition, the Court denied Petitioner's motion for a certificate of appealability (Docket No. 530), and the Second Circuit did so as well on December 14, 2017. See Second Circuit Docket 17-2095, No. 44.

Petitioner's motion to amend cannot be construed as a "second or successive" § 2255 motion within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 2244, because the motion to amend was filed while "appellate proceedings following [the Court's] dismissal of the initial petition remain pending." Whab v. United States, 408 F.3d 116, 118 (2d Cir. 2005). In other words, Petitioner filed his motion to amend before the time expired for him to file a petition for a writ of certiorari concerning the Second Circuit's denial of a certificate of appealability. See Whab, 408 F.3d at 120. See also Clark v. United States, 764 F.3d 653, 658 (6th Cir. 2014) ("A motion to amend is not a second or successive § 2255 motion when it is filed before the adjudication of the initial § 2255 motion is complete—i.e., before the petitioner has lost on the merits and exhausted her appellate remedies.")

However, because Petitioner has filed a notice of appeal from the Court's decision

denying his § 2255 motion, the Court lacks jurisdiction to consider his motion to amend.

See Griggs v. Provident Consumer Disc. Co., 459 U.S. 56, 58 (1982); Ching v. United

States, 298 F.3d 174, 180 n.5 (2d Cir. 2002) (Sotomayor, J.) (noting that district court

"could not rule on any motion affecting an aspect of the case that was before the [Second

Circuit], including a motion to amend [a § 2255] motion, while . . . appeal was pending").

Petitioner's motion to amend (Docket No. 546) is therefore denied for lack of jurisdiction.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 19, 2018

Buffalo, New York

s/Richard J. Arcara

HONORABLE RICHARD J. ARCARA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2